



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,548	12/27/2001	Katsuhito Kitahara	P6393a	7498

20178 7590 12/28/2006
EPSON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INC
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT
2580 ORCHARD PARKWAY, SUITE 225
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER

LAM, ANDREW H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2625

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/033,548

Applicant(s)

KITAHARA ET AL.

Examiner

Andrew H. Lam

Art Unit

2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 10/18/06.
- Claims 1-29 are pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claimed invention is a computer related invention. The Computer-Implemented Invention Guidelines issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office describe the procedures for examining such inventions.

The first step is to determine whether the invention as defined by the claims falls within one of the three following categories of unpatentable subject matter: (1) Functional descriptive material such as a data structure per se or a computer program per se, (2) Non-functional descriptive material such as music, literary works or pure data, embodied on a computer readable medium; or (3) A natural phenomenon such as energy or magnetism. The invention as defined by the claims is not a natural phenomenon or pure data, however, it is a computer program per se, which does not mount/store on any computer-readable medium; therefore, these claims are rejected for non-statutory basis.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The program claimed is merely a set of instructions per se. Since the computer program is merely a set of instructions not embodied on a computer readable medium to realize the computer program functionality, the claimed subject matter is non-statutory. The examiner recommends the applicants to replace "a data storage medium embodying a program " with "a computer readable medium storing a computer readable program" so it compliances with 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 and 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sansone (U.S. Patent No. 5,890,818) hereinafter Sansone.

Regarding claim 1, Sansone discloses an apparatus for generating logo data to be stored in and printed by a printer (col. 4, lines 66-col. 5, lines 10), the apparatus comprising (see fig. 12): a control data receiving unit configured (see fig. 11, printer compatibility list, printer setting list, barcode data 350) to receive control data including specific settings data and model identification data identifying a model of at least one target printer in which the logo data is to be stored (col. 5, lines 11-col. 6, lines 56 and col. 8, lines 28-64); a source data obtaining unit configured to obtain source data used

Art Unit: 2625

to generate the logo data (col. 6, lines 44-56); a reading unit configured to read, based on the model identification data, model-specific data for the at least one target printer from respective model-specific data stored for a plurality of printer models (col. 5, lines 11-col. 6, lines 56 and col. 8, lines 28-64); a logo data generating unit configured to generate the logo data by processing the source data based on the model-specific data read by the reading unit or on control data received by the control data receiving unit (col. 5, lines 11-col. 6, lines 56, and col. 7, lines 31-47); and a storage unit configured to store the logo data generated by the logo data generating unit (col. 6, lines 62-col. 7, line 47) .

Regarding claim 2, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 1, wherein the control data is limited to model-specific data that can be identified by the model identification data (col. 5, lines 11-col. 6, line 56 and col. 8, lines 28-64).

Regarding claim 3, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 2, wherein the control data receiving unit is adapted to disable receipt of at least some data for which setting is not required based on previously received or set control data (col. 5, line 11-col. 6, line 56 and col. 8, lines 28-64).

Regarding claim 4, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 3, wherein at least some control data are initialized to respective specific values that can be changed based on other control data received from the control data receiving unit (col. 5, line 11-col. 6, lines 56 and col. 8, lines 28-64)

Regarding claim 5, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 4, wherein the control data receiving unit is adapted to enable specifying colors available

Art Unit: 2625

for printing in, the at least one target printer (col. 5, line 11-col. 6, line 56, and col. 8, lines 28-64).

Regarding claim 6, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 5, wherein the logo data generating unit is adapted to assign source data colors to specific colors printable by the at least one target printer based on the model-specific data and settings data (col. 5, line 11-col. 6, line 56, and col. 8, lines 28-64).

Regarding claim 7, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 6, wherein the stored model-specific data includes communications parameters for each of the plurality of printer models, and the reading unit is adapted to set communications parameters for sending logo data to the at least one target printer based on the model-specific data (col. 5, line 11-col. 6, line 56 and col. 7, line 31-col. 8, line 64).

Regarding claim 8, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 7, further comprising an output unit configured to output the generated logo data (col. 8, line 37-col. 9, line 40), the output unit being adapted to output a file containing the logo data, a printer registration command for storing the logo data in the at least one target printer, and a data transmission command for sending the printer registration command and logo data to the at least one target printer (col. 5, line 11-col. 6, line 56 and col. 7, line 31-col. 8, line 64).

Regarding claim 9, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in 7, further comprising an output unit configured to output the generated logo data (col. 8, line 37-col. 9, line 40), the output unit being adapted to send the logo data and a command that

Art Unit: 2625

causes the at least one target printer to store the logo data therein (col. 8, line 37-col. 9, line 40).

Regarding claim 10, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 1, wherein the control data receiving unit has a graphical user interface input function (col. 5, line 11-col. 8, line 64, being inherent in the computer 42).

Regarding claim 11, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 10, wherein the control data receiving unit does not display input items for which setting is not required based on received or set control data (col. 6, line 26-col. 7, line 30).

Regarding claim 12, Sansone discloses an apparatus as described in claim 11, further comprising a display adapted to display an image based on the source data and an image based on data after processing by the logo data generating unit (col. 6, line 26-col. 7, line 30).

Regarding claims 14-25, the claims recite limitations that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 1-12 above and combination thereof; therefore, claims 14-25 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 1-12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sansone in view of Goring (U.S. Patent Pub. 2002/0077892) hereinafter Goring.

Regarding claim 13, Sansone discloses the apparatus described above in claim 12, but fails to expressly disclose that the display is adapted to display the image aligned for comparison on one side of the display.

Goring discloses an apparatus for generating logo data to be stored in and printed by a printer (see abstract), the apparatus comprising a control data receiving unit configured to receive control data including specific settings data identifying a model of at least one target printer in which the logo data is to be stored (paragraphs 0015-0020), a source data obtaining unit configured to obtain source data used to generate the logo data, a reading unit configured to read, based on the settings, model-specific data for the at least one target printer from respective model-specific data stored for a plurality of printer models (paragraphs 0013-0018), a logo data generating unit configured to generate the logo data by processing the source data based on the model-specific data read by the reading unit or on control data received by the control data receiving unit (paragraphs 0018-0020), and a storage unit configured to store the logo data generated by the logo data generating unit (paragraphs 0018-0020, and 0024-0025). Further, Goring teaches that the display is adapted to display the images aligned for comparison on one side of the display (paragraphs 0018-0023).

Sansone & Goring are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that generate advertising data on a printer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to display

Art Unit: 2625

the images aligned for comparison on one side of the display, as taught by Goring, within the system of Sansone. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Sansone's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Goring's teachings, as various graphic placement settings would be customizable, thereby increasing the advertising impact, as recognized by Goring in paragraphs 0014-0018. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Goring with system of Sansone to obtain the invention as specified in claim 13.

Regarding claim 26, Sansone discloses a logo data generating system (column 4, line 66-column 6, line 3), comprising memory for storing a printer model name and a predetermined number of printable colors, and print resolution of the printer model (column 5, line 11-column 6, line 56, and column 8, lines 28-64), a reading unit for reading source data to obtain image data provided for printing as logo data (column 5, line 11-column 6, line 56, and column 7, lines 31-47), a display unit for reading and displaying data stored in memory (column 6, line 26-column 7, line 30), a selection unit for selecting a target printer for printing out the logo data from among the printer model names displayed on the display unit (column 5, line 11-column 6, line 56, and column 8, lines 28-64), and a logo data generating unit for processing the source data to create logo data for printing based on the model name of the target printer selected by the selection unit and the number of printable colors, and print resolution of the selected target (column 5, line 11-column 6, line 56, and column 7, lines 31-47).

However, Sansone fails to expressly disclose of a display unit for reading and displaying the printer model name, number of printable colors, and print resolution stored in memory.

Goring discloses a logo data generating system (see abstract), comprising memory for storing a predetermined number of printable colors, and print resolution of the printer (paragraphs 0015-0018), a reading unit for reading source data to obtain image data provided for printing as logo data (paragraphs 0013-0018), a display unit for reading and displaying the printer model name, number of printable colors, and print resolution stored in memory (paragraphs 0013-0019), a selection unit for selecting a target printer for printing out the logo data from among the printer model names displayed on the display unit (paragraphs 0013-0020), and a logo data generating unit for processing the source data to create logo data for printing based on the model name of the target printer selected by the selection unit and the number of printable colors, and print resolution of the selected target (paragraphs 0013-0020).

Sansone & Goring are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that generate advertising data on a printer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to store the advertising data as taught by Goring within the system of Sansone. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Sansone's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Goring's teachings, as various attributes would be customizable, thereby increasing the advertising impact, as recognized by Goring in paragraph 0014. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the

Art Unit: 2625

teachings of Goring with system of Sansone to obtain the invention as specified in claim 26.

Regarding claim 27, Sansone and Goring disclose the system described above in claim 26, and Sansone further teaches of a data transmission unit for sending the logo data generated by the logo data generating unit to the target printer (column 5, line 11-column 6, line 56, and column 8, lines 28-64).

Regarding claim 28, Sansone and Goring disclose the system described above in claim 26, and Goring further teaches of a second memory for storing the logo data generated by the logo data generating unit (paragraphs 0018-0020, and 0024-0025).

Sansone & Goring are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that generate advertising data on a printer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to store the advertising data as taught by Goring within the system of Sansone. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Sansone's system would become more efficient with the addition of Goring's teachings, as the terminal would not need to download a further copy of a logo on subsequent printing requests, as recognized by Goring in 0008-0012. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Goring with system of Sansone to obtain the invention as specified in claim 27.

Regarding claim 29, Sansone and Goring disclose the system described above in claim 26, and Goring further teaches that the memory stores paper width attributes of the printer model, the display unit displays the stored paper width attributes, and the

Art Unit: 2625

logo data generating unit processes the source data to create logo data for printing also based on the paper width attributes of the selected target printer (paragraphs 0018-0020, and 0024-0025).

Sansone & Goring are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that generate advertising data on a printer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to store the advertising data as taught by Goring within the system of Sansone. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Sansone's system would become more efficient with the addition of Goring's teachings, as the terminal would not need to download a further copy of a logo on subsequent printing requests, as recognized by Goring in 0008-0012. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Goring with system of Sansone to obtain the invention as specified in claim 29.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Gulati (U.S. Patent Number 6,525,835) discloses a system for label generation.

Ebner et al. (U.S. Patent No 5,452,094) discloses a system for generating logo and storing the logo at the target printer for later usage.

Ebner et al. (E.P. Pub. No 596,706) discloses a system for generating logo and storing the logo at the target printer for later usage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-7, filed 10/18/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-12 and 14-25 under 102(b) and claims 13 and 26-29 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 14, 20 and 26, the applicant argued the cited prior arts (U.S. Patent No. 5,890,818, Sansone) fails to teach and/or suggest "a system in which the logo data is stored in the printer and used when a logo print command is sent to the printer".

In response to applicant's argument Sansone discloses an apparatus for generating logo data to be stored in and printed by a printer (col. 4, lines 66-col. 5, lines 10), more specifically in col. 57-65, the computer 41 receives information about the printers, printer settings, inks or toners and papers that have been found to be compatible with the printing of indicia 43 from computer 52. Furthermore, in col. 6, lines 38-65, if the printer listed on the initiating files does not contains the updated information such as dither setting, graphic setting, resolution enhancement technology setting, paper identification, toner identification of the printer 42 a request for information containing in the initiating file is updated wherein it is stored in the computer database and is sent to the printer.

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In addition, the examiner would like to point out that as

stated in the independent claims 1, 14, 20 and 26, the storage unit as claimed is interpreted as in the apparatus which is the computer 41.

Contact Information

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew H. Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-8569. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2625

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Lamm

12/16/06

KAWilliams

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER